

Comparing pupils and teacher's reflections on iRead tablet-based literacy games in a German elementary school

Nancy Knorr¹ and Kay Berkling²

Abstract. iRead is an EU Project involving literacy games in Spanish, German, Greek, and English for L1 and L2 acquisition. Content is selected dynamically from a large database using linguistic rules based on the player profile. The teacher can view pupils' progress based on automated game sequences or assign games manually. This project strives to understand how teaching with new technology is incorporated into the classroom. The authors interviewed both teachers and children about their points of view and compared their answers at the end of the project. Results indicate that pupils had a much deeper understanding of their learning than was apparent from the teachers' point of view.

Keywords: serious games, literacy games, elementary educational games, self-evaluation, pupils' perspectives, technology appropriation.

1. Introduction

According to an EU study (European Commission, 2019) on Information and Communication Technology (ICT) use in schools, there is a large gap between the use of technology in German elementary schools compared to other school forms within Germany. 9% of German elementary schools are connected to the internet compared to 35% EU-wide. Germany has a lower share of strong policy and strong support³ when compared to the EU average (European Commission, 2019). Previous work in educational games covers only partial aspects of our project,

1. Karlsruhe University of Education, Karlsruhe, Germany; nancy.knorr@gmx.de; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3279-5341>

2. Cooperative State University, Karlsruhe, Germany; berkling@dhbw-karlsruhe.de; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1186-5678>

3. Defined by the EU as a school's external and internal support for digitalisation

How to cite: Knorr, N., & Berkling, K. (2020). Comparing pupils and teacher's reflections on iRead tablet-based literacy games in a German elementary school. In K.-M. Frederiksen, S. Larsen, L. Bradley & S. Thouésny (Eds), *CALL for widening participation: short papers from EUROCALL 2020* (pp. 161-166). Research-publishing.net. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2020.48.1182>

such as adaptivity (Jung et al., 2016), definitions (Breuer & Bente, 2010), second language acquisition (Järvinen, 2020), or teacher training (Gebele & Kaleta, 2019).

As part of the iRead EU Horizon Project⁴, adaptive learning games (Navigo) for first and second language literacy games are deployed in German elementary schools. Content, such as grapheme-phoneme correspondence or syntax questions are presented through various game mechanics. Providing games based on the skill level of each pupil is efficient for personalized use in classrooms (Viertel, Ehrenspeck-Kolasa, & Spies, 2017). Navigo leads the player with adaptive content and is not in sync with classroom lessons. Its integration into classroom activities may therefore not be straightforward. Therefore, we are interested in looking at the use of adaptive literacy games in the German elementary classroom.

In our past work, we have shown that the games provide an effective learning experience (Berkling & Franken, 2019; Berkling & Kermes, 2020). This study looks at pupils' and teachers' beliefs and attitudes about the games. Comparing results from both studies, we uncovered discrepancies between academic improvement on the one hand and pupils' and teachers' beliefs about learning on the other.

2. Method and data collection

Researchers joined groups of five pupils for ten-week sessions playing one to two games in each session. We were interested in teachers' and pupils' beliefs about their learning. Teachers were interviewed before, during, and after the intervention, using the same questions as the pupils, who were interviewed after two weeks. For data protection reasons, pupils' answers were noted down by the interviewer while teacher responses were recorded, transcribed, and quantified manually.

To study pupils' acceptance of the technology, we combined observations with interview results. The observations were based on about 140 children from two schools in Grades 1, 2, and 3. Interesting patterns of behavior during the observations were noted and quantified manually.

Forty-five children in first grade and 20 in second grade were interviewed.

- Do you believe you can learn something from this game? (yes, no, I don't know)

4. <https://iread-project.eu>

- What would you like to learn? (open)
- Do you think you are improving in the game? (open)

A total of eight teachers across several schools were interviewed ranging from Grade 1-4.

- Do you believe the pupils can learn something from the game? (yes/no)
- What have the pupils learned so far? (open)
- Do you believe the pupils are improving? (If yes, in which skill?) (open)

3. Results

3.1. Results from pupil responses and observations

Pupils supported each other in handling the devices, including explaining how to improve during gameplay. Touching input fields and typing login information were difficult for all pupils, especially for first graders. Regarding interaction, we observed the following:

- about 2%⁵ of pupils did not wish to play the game;
- very few first graders (around 10%) read out loud while playing;
- around 5% of pupils asked the teacher's opinion before submitting an answer to avoid potential mistakes;
- generally, first graders demonstrated a lack of digital literacy;
- customizing the avatar contributed to the motivation of playing; and
- social interaction between pupils during play was important.

Based on the interviews, we obtained the following overall results:

⁵. % is based on the count of children in a particular category under observation.

- 92% of pupils wished to continue outside of classroom time;
- 70% of pupils thought that they are learning something;
- 90% of pupils believed that they were improving within the game; and
- when asked what they are learning, top items included reading, grapheme (ie), words, speed, spelling, and other topics relating to language skills.

3.2. Results from teachers' interviews

Initial observations reflect the difficulty of integration efforts due to the technology, resulting engagement, social aspects, and the belief about learning academic content through games. From the teacher point of view, there was a diverse set of attitudes toward the games and how the games could be integrated into the classroom. Initial skepticism toward the games was pervasive. However, in the final interview all eight educators said they believe the pupils had learned something. Regarding teachers' beliefs about what a pupil can learn from the games, the most frequent mentions were: reading, grapheme (ie), lecture material practice, concentration, and orthography for specific phenomena. Additionally, teachers mentioned: digital literacy skills; sense of achievement; and enjoyment for language. Teachers believed that pupils with stronger vocabularies were better able to benefit from the games compared to pupils with weaker vocabularies. One teacher recognized the importance of the games in teaching language patterns.

Regarding, 'the impression that the pupils are getting better', teachers said pupils could learn from the games, but most educators were unsure whether the observed improvements were really due to games. All agreed it was impossible to solely attribute improvements in the post-tests to games rather than classroom teaching. A minority of teachers found that some individuals profited from the games in their spelling. One teacher discussed the games directly with the pupils and remarked how the pupil was able to express their comprehension of the trained skills. In general, fourth grade teachers were more positive about learning achievements than lower grade teachers.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Pupils are aware and can articulate their learning improvements even in first grade, namely reading, spelling, vocabulary, word patterns, and speed at recognizing

these. In contrast, educators diverge in their opinion based on grade. In early grades, they would attribute the learning more toward their own teaching, and provide the games as a motivator and fun way to deal with language, while in upper grades they are more likely to recognize learning progress through the game. Given other publications we can say that there are clear improvements that can be seen in the game analytics that match the academic improvement in pupils' writing skills. In the future, we plan to conduct interviews with the pupils at a later date to have a more accurate assessment and integrate educators into the interview process as a first step toward improving their understanding of the usefulness of the games, not only as a motivator but as a real skill booster.

5. Acknowledgments

iRead is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 731724. The results presented reflect only the authors' view and the Agency is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

References

- Berkling, K., & Franken, B. (2019, October 22). Transfer of educational skills from games to classroom tasks – a case study using iRead to improve reading and writing. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Gamification and Games for Learning, GamiLearn 2019, Barcelona, Spain*. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2497/paper2.pdf>
- Berkling, K., & Kermes, H. (2020). Learning to take the next step: knowledge acquisition of orthographic patterns in serious games with immediate feedback. In *EDULEARN20 Proceedings*. IATED. <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2020.1281>
- Breuer, J., & Bente, G. (2010). Why so serious? On the relation of serious games and learning. *Journal for Computer Game Culture*, 4(1), 7-24. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00692052/document>
- European Commission (2019). *2nd survey of schools: ICT in education : objective 1 : benchmark progress in ICT in schools, final report*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Gebele, D., & Kaleta, M. (2019). *Lehrer_innenprofessionalisierung für sprachliche Förderung mit digitalen Medien in heterogenen Lerngruppen*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.4119/hlz-2477>
- Järvinen, E. (2020). *Digitale Lernspiele im finnischen DaF-Unterricht: Stellenwert der Bingel-Spielwelt aus der Sicht des Schülers*. Bachelor Thesis, University of Jyväskylä. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:jyu-202006154163>

- Jung, S., Huber, S., Heller, J., Grust, T., Möller, K., & Nuerk, H.-C. (2016). Die Tüebinger LernPlattform zum Erwerb numerischer und orthografischer Kompetenzen (TULPE). *Lernen und Lernstörungen*, 5(1), 7-15. <https://doi.org/10.1024/2235-0977/a000112>
- Viertel, M., Ehrenspeck-Kolasa, Y., & Spies, A. (2017). Digitale Leseförderung an Grundschulen zwischen Anspruch und Wirklichkeit. In K. Mayrberger, J. Fromme et al. (Eds), *Jahrbuch Medienpädagogik 13* (pp. 151-164). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-16432-4_10

Published by Research-publishing.net, a not-for-profit association
Contact: info@research-publishing.net

© 2020 by Editors (collective work)
© 2020 by Authors (individual work)

CALL for widening participation: short papers from EUROCALL 2020
Edited by Karen-Margrete Frederiksen, Sanne Larsen, Linda Bradley, and Sylvie Thouéšny

Publication date: 2020/12/14

Rights: the whole volume is published under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives International (CC BY-NC-ND) licence; **individual articles may have a different licence.** Under the CC BY-NC-ND licence, the volume is freely available online (<https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2020.48.9782490057818>) for anybody to read, download, copy, and redistribute provided that the author(s), editorial team, and publisher are properly cited. Commercial use and derivative works are, however, not permitted.

Disclaimer: Research-publishing.net does not take any responsibility for the content of the pages written by the authors of this book. The authors have recognised that the work described was not published before, or that it was not under consideration for publication elsewhere. While the information in this book is believed to be true and accurate on the date of its going to press, neither the editorial team nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions. The publisher makes no warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein. While Research-publishing.net is committed to publishing works of integrity, the words are the authors' alone.

Trademark notice: product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Copyrighted material: every effort has been made by the editorial team to trace copyright holders and to obtain their permission for the use of copyrighted material in this book. In the event of errors or omissions, please notify the publisher of any corrections that will need to be incorporated in future editions of this book.

Typeset by Research-publishing.net

Cover theme by © 2020 Marie Flensburg (frw831@hum.ku.dk), based on illustration from [freepik.com](https://www.freepik.com)
Cover layout by © 2020 Raphaël Savina (raphael@savina.net)

ISBN13: 978-2-490057-81-8 (Ebook, PDF, colour)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data.

A cataloguing record for this book is available from the British Library.

Legal deposit, France: Bibliothèque Nationale de France - Dépôt légal: décembre 2020.